

# IFSB-19

# GUIDING PRINCIPLES ON DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR ISLAMIC CAPITAL MARKET PRODUCTS (\$UKŪK AND ISLAMIC COLLECTIVE INVESTMENT SCHEMES)

**APRIL 2017** 

#### ABOUT THE ISLAMIC FINANCIAL SERVICES BOARD (IFSB)

The IFSB is an international standard-setting organisation which was officially inaugurated on 3 November 2002 and started operations on 10 March 2003. The organisation promotes and enhances the soundness and stability of the Islamic financial services industry by issuing global prudential standards and guiding principles for the industry, broadly defined to include the banking, capital markets and insurance sectors. The standards prepared by the IFSB follow a lengthy due process as outlined in its Guidelines and Procedures for the Preparation of Standards/Guidelines, which involves, among others, the issuance of exposure drafts, holding of workshops and, where necessary, public hearings. The IFSB also conducts research and coordinates initiatives on industry-related issues, as well as organises roundtables, seminars and conferences for regulators and industry stakeholders. Towards this end, the IFSB works closely with relevant international, regional and national organisations, research/educational institutions and market players.

For more information about the IFSB, please visit www.ifsb.org.

# **COUNCIL MEMBERS\***

H.E. Dr. Bandar Hajjar	President, Islamic Development Bank
H.E. Rasheed M. Al-Maraj	Governor, Central Bank of Bahrain
H.E. Fazle Kabir	Governor, Bangladesh Bank
H.E. Yusof Abd Rahman	Managing Director, Autoriti Monetari Brunei Darussalam
H.E. Ahmed Osman	Governor, Banque Centrale De Djibouti
H.E. Tarek Hassan Ali Amer	Governor, Central Bank of Egypt
H.E. Agus D.W. Martowardojo	Governor, Bank Indonesia
H.E. Dr. Valiollah Seif	Governor, Central Bank of the Islamic Republic Of Iran
H.E. Ali Mohsen Ismail	Governor, Central Bank of Iraq
H.E. Dr. Ziad Fariz	Governor, Central Bank of Jordan
H.E. Daniyar Akishev	Governor, National Bank of Kazakhstan
H.E. Dr. Mohammad Y. Al Hashel	Governor, Central Bank of Kuwait
H.E. Abdellatif Jouahari	Governor, Bank Al-Maghrib
H.E. Muhammad Ibrahim	Governor, Bank Negara Malaysia
H.E. Abdel Aziz Ould Dahi	Governor, Bank of Mauritania
H.E. Rameswurlall Basant Roi G.C.S.K.	Governor, Bank of Mauritius
H.E. Godwin Emefiele	Governor, Central Bank of Nigeria
H.E. Ashraf Mahmood Wathra	Governor, State Bank of Pakistan
H.E. Sheikh Abdulla Saoud Al-Thani	Governor, Qatar Central Bank
H.E. Dr. Ahmed Abdulkarim Alkholifey	Governor, Saudi Arabian Monetary Authority
H.E. Ravi Menon	Managing Director, Monetary Authority of Singapore
H.E. Hazim Abdegadir Ahmed Babiker	Governor, Central Bank of Sudan
H.E. Mehmet Ali Akben	Chairman, Banking Regulation and Supervision Agency, Republic of Turkey
H.E. Mubarak Rashed Khamis Al Mansoori	Governor, Central Bank of the United Arab Emirates

<sup>\*</sup>In alphabetical order of the country the member's organisation represents

# **TECHNICAL COMMITTEE**

#### Chairman

Mr. Mu'jib Turki Al Turki – Qatar Central Bank (from 12 April 2016) Mr. Osamah Shaker – Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency (until 31 January 2016)

# **Deputy Chairman**

Mr. Tarek Fayed – Central Bank of Egypt (from 12 April 2016) Mr. Mu'jib Turki Al Turki – Qatar Central Bank (until 11 April 2016)

#### Members\*

Mr. Haseeb Ullah Siddiqui	Islamic Development Bank	
Mrs. Ebtisam Al Arrayed	Central Bank of Bahrain	
Mr. Chowdhury Md. Feroz Bin Alam	Bangladesh Bank	
(until 22 September 2015)		
Mr. Abu Farah Md. Nasser		
(from 23 September 2015)	Bangladesh Bank	
Ms. Rashidah Sabtu	Autoriti Monetari Brunei Darussalam	
Mr. Tarek El-Sayed Fayed		
(until 11 April 2016)	Central Bank of Egypt	
Dr. Dadang Muljawan		
(until 8 March 2017)	Bank Indonesia	
Mr. Ahmad Buchori	Indonesia Financial Services Authority	
Mr. Hamidreza Ghaniabadi	Central Bank of the Islamic Republic of Iran	
Dr. Ali Saeedi	Securities and Exchange Organization, Iran	
Mr. Arafat Alfayoumi	Central Bank of Jordan	
Mr. Waleed Al-Awadhi	Central Bank of Kuwait	
Mr. Mohd Zabidi Md Nor	Bank Negara Malaysia	
Mr. Zainal Izlan Zainal Abidin	Securities Commission Malaysia	
Dr. Lhassane Benhalima	Bank Al-Maghrib	
Dr. Yakubu A. Umar	Bank Al-Magnillo	
(until 24 July 2015)	Central Bank of Nigeria	
Mr. Muhammad Wada Mu'azu Lere		
(from 25 July 2015)	Central Bank of Nigeria	
Dr. Talmiz Usman	National Insurance Commission, Nigeria	
Mr. Yavar Moini	National insurance commission, myena	
(until 30 September 2015)	State Bank of Pakistan	
Mr. Ghulam Muhammad Abbasi		
(from 1 October 2015)	State Bank of Pakistan	
Mr. Thamer AlEssa		
(from 26 January 2016)	Saudi Arabian Monetary Authority	
Mr. Ethan Goh Cheng Hing		
(until 7 January 2016)	Monetary Authority of Singapore	
Mr. Alan Teo		
(from 12 April 2016)	Monetary Authority of Singapore	
Dr. Badreldin Gorashi Mustafa		
(until 16 August 2016)	Central Bank of Sudan	
Mr. Mahmoud Salah Mahmoud Rashid		
(from 14 December 2016)	Central Bank of Sudan	
Mr. Mehmet Siddik Yurtcicek	Banking Regulation and Supervision Agency of	
(until 15 September 2015)	Republic of Turkey	
Mr. Abdurrahman Cetin	Banking Regulation and Supervision Agency of	
(from 16 September 2015)	Republic of Turkey	
` '	Capital Markets Board of Turkey	
Mr. Eser Sagar Mr. Ahmet Bicer		
(until 26 December 2016)	Central Bank of Republic of Turkey	
Mr. Khalid Omar Al-Kharji	Central Bank of the United Arab Emirates	
IVII. KITAIIU OITIAI AITKITAIJI	Central Datik Of the Officed Alab Elillates	

<sup>\*</sup>In alphabetical order of the country the member's organisation represents

## GUIDING PRINCIPLES ON DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR ISLAMIC CAPITAL MARKET PRODUCTS WORKING GROUP

#### **CHAIRMAN**

Mr. Zainal Izlan Zainal Abidin - Securities Commission Malaysia

**Deputy Chairman**Mr. Eser Sagar – Capital Markets Board of Turkey

# Members\*

Dr. Muhammad Syahmi Mohd Karim	Islamic Development Bank
Mr. Md. Aminur Rahman Chowdhury	Bangladesh Bank
Mr. Khairul Anuar Ahmad	Autoriti Monetari Brunei Darussalam
Mr. AK Mohd Mas Nazirul Hasheer PG Haji Masuni (from 13 April 2016)	Autoriti Monetari Brunei Darussalam
Mr. Jhordy Kashoogie Nazar	Bank Indonesia
Mr. Muhamad Adi Wijoyo (from 28 October 2015 - 30 November 2015)	Indonesia Financial Services Authority
Mr. Agus Maiyo (until 31 March 2016)	Indonesia Financial Services Authority
Mr. Muhammad Touriq (from 3 May 2016)	Indonesia Financial Services Authority
Mr. Hossein Massoumi	Central Bank of Islamic Republic of Iran
Dr. Bahador Bijani	Securities and Exchange Organisation, Iran
Mr. Yedil Medeu	National Bank of Kazakhstan
Mr. Mubarak Al Rifaie	Capital Markets Authority, Kuwait
Mr. Firas Hamdan	Banque Du Liban
Mr. Noraizat Bin Shik Ahmad	Securities Commission Malaysia
Mr. Adel Al Breaki	Capital Markets Authority, Oman
Ms. Hafsa Hajjoubi	Qatar Financial Markets Authority
Mr. Amadou Tidiane Bousso	Ministry of Economy and Finances, Senegal
Dr. Elharam Ahmed Mohamed Mukhtar	Central Bank of Sudan
Mr. Burai Osman Elsherif Abelaziz	Insurance Supervisory Authority, Sudan
Mr. Selçuk Dinçsoy	Capital Markets Board of Turkey
Mr. Erkan Ozguc (from 30 July 2015)	Capital Markets Board of Turkey
Mr. Basheer Ahmad	Dubai Financial Services Authority, United Arab Emirates

<sup>\*</sup>In alphabetical order of the country the member's organisation represents

# ISLAMIC DEVELOPMENT BANK GROUP SHARTAH BOARD\*

#### Chairman

Sheikh Dr. Hussein Hamed Hassan

**Deputy Chairman** Sheikh Dr. Abdulsattar Abu Ghuddah

H.E. Sheikh Abdullah Bin Suleiman Al-Mani'	Member
Sheikh Dr. Mohamed Raougui	Member
Sheikh Mohammad Ali Taskhiri	Member
Sheikh Dr. Muhammad Syafii Antonio	Member
Sheikh Muhammad Taqi Al-Usmani	Member

<sup>\*</sup>In alphabetical order

# SECRETARIAT, ISLAMIC FINANCIAL SERVICES BOARD

Mr. Jaseem Ahmed	Secretary-General
Mr. Zahid ur Rehman Khokher	Assistant Secretary-General
Dr. Sherif Ayoub (until 6 August 2016)	Assistant Secretary-General
Mr. Peter Casey	Consultant
Mr. Hooman Sabeti	Consultant
Mrs. Dian Dannira Skymmar	Member of the Secretariat, Technical and Research
Mr. Dong Choon Yi	Member of the Secretariat, Technical and
(until 10 September 2015)	Research

# **Table of Contents**

ABBREVIATIONS	VI
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives	1
1.3 Scope and Coverage	1
1.4 Other Relevant Standards	2
1.5 General Approach	3
1.6 Implementation Date	3
1.7 Structure of the Standard	4
SECTION 2: THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES	5
2.1 General Principles	5
2.1.1 Principle G.1: Clear and Accurate Information	
2.1.2 Principle G.2: Sufficient Information	6
2.1.3 Principle G.3: Timely Information	7
2.2 Şukūk Disclosure	8
2.2.1 Application	8
2.2.2 Principle S.1: General Disclosure Principles Applicable to Şukūk	9
2.2.3 Principle S.2: Sharīʻah-related Disclosures for Şukūk	11
2.2.4 Principle S.3: Structure-related Disclosures for Şukūk	13
2.2.5 Principle S.4: Entities about which Disclosures Should be Made	19
2.3 ICIS Disclosure	21
2.3.1 Application	21
2.3.2 Principle C.1: Sharī'ah-related Disclosures for ICIS	23
2.3.3 Principle C.2: Operations-related Disclosures for ICIS	24
2.3.4 Principle C.3: Specialist ICIS Disclosure	25
DEFINITIONS	30

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

CIS	Collective investment scheme
ETF	Exchange traded fund
EU	European Union
ICIS	Islamic collective investment scheme
ICM	Islamic capital market
IDBG	Islamic Development Bank Group
IFSB	Islamic Financial Services Board
IFSB-15	Revised Capital Adequacy Standard for Institutions offering Islamic Financial Services [Excluding Islamic Insurance ( <i>Takāful</i> ) Institutions and Islamic Collective Investment Schemes]
IIFS	Institutions offering Islamic financial services
IOSCO	International Organization of Securities Commissions
KID	Key information document
MMF	Money market fund
OEIC	Open-ended investment company
OTC	Over the counter
RSAs	Regulatory and supervisory authorities
SICAV	Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable
SPV	Special purpose vehicle
UCITS	Undertakings for collective investments in transferable securities

#### Bismillahirrahmānirrahīm.

Allahumma şallī wasallim 'alā Sayyidinā Muḥammad wa 'alā ālihī waşaḥbihī

#### **SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Background

The Technical Committee of the Islamic Financial Service Board (IFSB), in its 27th meeting held in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, on 11 June 2012, observed that there is a need to ensure that investors are provided adequate information about the products offered in the Islamic Capital Market (ICM). In September 2012, the IFSB, with the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO) and Securities Commission Malaysia, held a Roundtable on Disclosure Requirements for Islamic Capital Market Products in Kuala Lumpur, the papers and commentaries for which were published jointly in September 2013. The Roundtable identified a number of respects in which ICM products raised disclosure issues different from or additional to those of their conventional counterparts. In its 21st meeting, on 12 December 2012 in Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the Council of the IFSB agreed to start preparing a standard focusing on disclosure requirements for ICM products.

#### 1.2 Objectives

- The Guiding Principles on Disclosure Requirements for Islamic Capital Market Products (Sukūk and Islamic Collective Investment Schemes) ("the standard") are intended to meet the following objectives:
  - to provide a basis for regulatory and supervisory authorities (RSAs) to set rules and guidelines on disclosure requirements for ICM products, specifically for sukūk and Islamic collective investment schemes (ICIS):
  - (ii) to outline a basis for RSAs to assess the adequacy of the disclosure frameworks specified by others:1
  - (iii) to provide a comprehensive disclosure framework for participants in the ICM; and
  - (iv) to create greater harmonisation of regulation and practice in the ICM, and thus to facilitate cross-border offerings.

# 1.3 Scope and Coverage

- This standard is applicable only to *şukūk* and ICIS. However, as the ICM continues to develop, it may become appropriate for standards for other ICM products to be set in the future. Some elements of this standard may also be applied by analogy to any such products for which RSAs are responsible.
- 4. The standard has been aimed at those  $suk\bar{u}k^2$  that represent proportional, undivided ownership right in tangible assets, or a pool of tangible assets and other types of assets, and at ICIS that invest in transferable securities. It does, however, also discuss other types of ICIS in particular places, and covers the specific disclosure issues relating to some special types of ICIS.
- This standard covers the main stages of disclosure that is, initial, ongoing (periodic and immediate) and point-of-sale disclosure, in so far as they are applicable to şukūk and ICIS. "Initial disclosure" refers to the disclosures made, typically in a prospectus or other offering document, when a security is first offered. "Periodic disclosure" refers to the disclosures that are required at specified intervals thereafter - for example, in some instances, annual or quarterly financial statements. "Immediate disclosure" refers to disclosures that may be required, usually within a short period, when a price-sensitive development or other specified event occurs - for example, the resignation of a director. In the case of some collective investment schemes (CIS), because investors may often acquire the issued securities long after the original prospectus was published, it is common both for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For example, by an exchange that is also a listing authority.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It should be noted, though, that şukūk in essence are entirely different from conventional bonds in terms of their Sharī'ah basis and rulings as compared to conventional bonds that represent only debt with no right of ownership in any assets.

prospectus to be updated regularly and for there to be a document that summarises the relevant information and provides additional information on, for example, performance and major holdings. This may be referred to as a "key information document" (KID) or "fact sheet", or some similar term. This type of point-of-sale disclosure is covered by the present standard, but it does not address wider aspects of financial intermediation, such as suitability of securities offered to customers or the disclosures made by financial intermediaries – for example, about their own remuneration.

#### 1.4 Other Relevant Standards

- 6. The IFSB has developed several standards that have some relevance to disclosure in ICM, as follows:
  - IFSB-6: Guiding Principles on Governance for Islamic Collective Investment Schemes
  - IFSB-9: Guiding Principles on Conduct of Business for Institutions offering Islamic Financial Services
  - IFSB–10: Guiding Principles on Sharī'ah Governance for Institutions offering Islamic Financial Services
- 7. These aforementioned standards are addressed primarily at institutions providing financial services, while capital markets regulation addresses market intermediaries as well as issuers of securities, many of which will not be financial institutions. In addition, the above standards are largely concerned with setting substantive requirements in matters other than disclosure, although they do specify some associated disclosures. Where the present standard specifies disclosures in the same areas, and by the same parties (e.g. about the Sharī'ah governance of an ICIS), it should be regarded as superseding those standards, to that extent only.
- 8. As regards Sharī'ah governance, IFSB-10 covers the relationship between Sharī'ah governance in individual institutions and whatever Sharī'ah governance arrangements may exist for a jurisdiction as a whole. It recognises that Sharī'ah governance may take several forms, and that jurisdictions have adopted diverse approaches to it. The present standard has been prepared within that context and accommodates whatever Sharī'ah governance arrangements consistent with IFSB-10 may apply in an institution or jurisdiction.
- 9. The primary international standard setter for conventional securities markets is IOSCO. It has published a number of standards relevant to the matters covered by this standard. They include:
  - Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation (2010) and the associated assessment methodology
  - Principles for the Supervision of Operators of Collective Investment Schemes (1997)
  - Principles for Ongoing Disclosure and Material Development Reporting by Listed Entities (2002)
  - Performance Presentation Standards for Collective Investment Schemes: Best Practices Standard (2004)
  - International Disclosure Principles for Cross-Border Offerings and Listings of Debt Securities by Foreign Issuers (2007)
  - Principles for Periodic Disclosure by Listed Entities (2010)
  - Disclosure Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-backed Securities (2010)
  - Principles on Point of Sale Disclosure (2011)

Principles on Suspensions of Redemptions in Collective Investment Schemes (2012)

This standard is not intended to supersede any of the IOSCO standards mentioned above. Instead, it aims to complement those standards by dealing with issues specific to Islamic capital market products, and by setting out principles and practices pertaining specifically to disclosure requirements for <code>\$ukūk\$</code> and ICIS. However, if on any point this standard is in conflict with one of these IOSCO standards, then, for ICM products only, this standard should prevail.

10. In addition to the IOSCO standards mentioned above, the regulatory requirements of the European Union (EU) have influenced capital markets regulation well beyond its borders. In preparing the present standard, the IFSB has taken cognisance of the EU regime as an example of accepted good practice in conventional capital markets. In particular, it has reviewed aspects of practice represented by the Prospectus Directive (2003/71/EC), the Transparency Directive (2004/109/EC) and the UCITS Directive (2009/65/EC), in each case as amended to the date of this standard.

#### 1.5 General Approach

- 11. The IOSCO standards mentioned above establish a very substantial disclosure framework for conventional capital markets, including bonds and CIS. A large majority of this framework is also applicable to ICM, including <code>ṣukūk</code> and ICIS. In addition, most RSAs who regulate Islamic capital markets also regulate conventional ones and have already adopted regulatory provisions based on these standards. The present standard therefore deals only with those areas in which ICM products require disclosures additional to, or different from, their conventional counterparts. It does not attempt to duplicate the conventional standards by specifying all relevant disclosures, including those that are common to conventional and Islamic products. This standard thus assumes that RSAs will implement it in the context of a regulatory regime based on the IOSCO standards.
- 12. In preparing this standard, the IFSB has recognised that ICM products are to some extent in competition with conventional ones, both in terms of where investors choose to deploy their funds and what issuers decide to offer. This standard seeks to strike a balance between the need to provide adequate information to enable investors to make informed decisions, especially in Sharī'ah-related areas, and the need to ensure that issuers are not unnnecessarily burdened in meeting the requirements. It also seeks to provide a sound basis of regulation to allow what have been nascent Islamic capital markets to develop to maturity with greater levels of integrity and transparency.
- 13. Although practice varies from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, it is common for there to be some capital market offerings that are exempt from detailed regulation in respect of disclosure, due to the nature of the offering or of the offeror. These may, for example, include securities offered to small numbers of sophisticated investors, or issued by governments or multilateral financial institutions such as the Islamic Development Bank Group (IDBG) or the World Bank Group, among others. The applicability of this standard in such areas is discussed more fully later, but the IFSB notes that, even where disclosures are not required by regulation, they are often made as a matter of good market practice. It hopes, therefore, that this standard will be taken into account by those responsible for making disclosures in respect of such offerings.

#### 1.6 Implementation Date

14. RSAs are expected to start implementation of this standard in their jurisdictions by 1 July 2018. Such implementation should be undertaken within the applicable Sharī'ah governance, legal and regulatory framework applicable in the jurisdiction.

#### 1.7 Structure of the Standard

15. The principles set out in Section 2 of this standard are arranged into three sections. Section 2.1 sets out general principles that are applicable to disclosure requirements for <code>\$ukuk\$</code> and ICIS. Section 2.2 deals with principles specific to <code>\$ukuk\$</code> disclosures, and section 2.3 states the principles for ICIS disclosures. Both sections 2.2 and 2.3 provide explanations on how the principles under each section should be applied in certain areas. Each principle is supported by a description of the underlying rationale, and by recommended disclosures. While the principles are intended to be capable of regulatory implementation at a high level, the recommended disclosures provide an outline of a more detailed regulatory framework for RSAs to consider in the light of the needs and characteristics of their own jurisdictions. They may also be applied on a voluntary basis where local regulatory provisions do not cover the issues in question.

#### **SECTION 2: THE GUIDING PRINCIPLES**

#### 2.1 General Principles

The three principles that follow (Principles G.1-G.3) are common to both sukūk and ICIS. These principles speak to good practice for both conventional and Islamic capital market products. However, the specific characteristics of ICM products, and the particular concerns of investors in such products, mean that the detailed application of these three principles will raise the specific issues discussed below.

#### 2.1.1 Principle G.1: Clear and Accurate Information

Information provided in offering documents or other documents prepared for investors and prospective investors should be clear, accurate and not misleading.3

#### Rationale

- 17. Investors rely on information to make investment decisions, whether to invest in a new product, or to continue or discontinue their investment in an existing product. In order to protect investors' interests and the integrity of their decision making, disclosures related to the product (initial, ongoing and point of sale) must be clear, accurate and not misleading.
- It is a fundamental requirement, therefore, that an issuer,4 or others acting on its behalf,5 should not mislead investors by disclosing untrue information or failing to disclose material information. In particular, such parties should not issue information that is misleading to investors or the market regarding the Sharī'ah aspects of an issuance.
- Disclosure must be in a clear and understandable language and presentation. How this requirement is implemented will depend on the sophistication of the investors at whom the offering is targeted. An offering targeted at retail investors should, for example, not assume familiarity with challenging areas of law and/or Islamic jurisprudence and/ or Arabic terms (in a non-Arabic document). It should not present key concepts in small print or at the back of a long document. On the other hand, an issuance aimed at large institutional investors might reasonably assume a greater level of sophistication and expertise.
- The requirement to be clear, accurate and not misleading applies not only in initial disclosures, but also in both ongoing and point-of-sale disclosures.
- RSAs should ensure that this principle is applied to all those who bear regulatory responsibility for the disclosures in question, subject to whatever legal defences may be available.
- Some jurisdictions require specific disclosures for retail investors. Where such disclosures are required, RSAs should ensure that the requirements of this principle are applied to such documents, and should give particular attention to ensuring that they are clear and jargon-free.

## Recommended Disclosures

23. No specific disclosures are recommended under this principle, beyond those that would be required as part of a regime designed to meet IOSCO standards. However, it is recommended that as part of such a regime, there should be a general requirement along the lines of the principle, and that its terms should be broad enough to cover whatever disclosures are made about the Sharī'ah aspects of the issuance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In order to avoid repeating the phrase "investors and prospective investors" throughout the document, later references to "investors" should be taken to include prospective investors, where appropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In the case of sukūk, where the issuer is a special purpose vehicle (SPV), the term should be taken to include the originator of the  $suk\bar{u}k$ , which will use the proceeds and may be responsible for payments under the agreement. <sup>5</sup> For example, the manager of an ICIS, or the advisor to a  $suk\bar{u}k$  issuer.

24. Where RSAs have responsibility to scrutinise prospectuses or other disclosure documents, and have a general provision of this kind within their regime, their application of it should cover the disclosures made about Sharī'ah matters, even where these Sharī'ah matters are not specified in more detailed terms by the RSAs.

#### 2.1.2 Principle G.2: Sufficient Information

There should be full disclosure of information which a reasonable investor would view as material to their decision whether to invest, or to remain invested.

#### Rationale

- 25. The regulatory framework should ensure that, for securities whose disclosure is regulated, relevant disclosures are made initially, on an ongoing basis, and in point-of-sale material where this forms part of the regulatory framework.
- 26. In some circumstances the consistency and comparability of the information may be important. Examples are the annual financial statements of an issuer, where any change in the basis of accounting needs to be clearly stated, or the regular performance figures for a CIS.
- 27. What is considered as material will depend on both the instrument itself and the type of investor at whom it is targeted, or who may hold it. If an instrument is held out to be Sharī'ah-compliant, whether expressly or by implication, 6 disclosure on Sharī'ah matters is necessary.
- 28. Disclosed material needs to be reasonably accessible to investors. The regulatory regimes of many jurisdictions require issuers of securities to file or make available documents beyond those normally distributed directly to investors or the general public. (Examples might be past financial statements or copies of transaction documents). Where this is done, those documents should be readily accessible to investors, normally online, and investors should be made clearly aware of how they may be accessed.

#### Recommended Disclosures

- 29. The regulatory framework should impose a standard format for disclosure under prospectuses. A typical standard format would require the disclosure of information under specific headings. The conventional standards already cited, and examples of good practice such as the EU regime, provide a good basis for specifying such a standard format.
- 30. In addition, the offering documents should provide information on the basis on which Sharī'ah compliance is claimed. Specific issues in this respect for *şukūk* and ICIS, including special types of ICIS, are discussed later in this standard.
- 31. Since the requirement of Sharī'ah compliance necessitates concern with relevant matters on a continuing basis, ongoing disclosures should include any facts that materially affect the judgement that the investment is, and will remain, compliant.
- 32. Risk disclosures should include any risks arising from Sharī'ah non-compliance, and also any other risks for example, legal risks arising from the structure of the instrument. Some specific aspects of this are discussed later in this standard.
- 33. Conventional disclosure frameworks commonly include a general requirement that, in addition to specific disclosures required by that framework, disclosures should include all other matters of which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In some jurisdictions, terms other than "Islamic" may be used. For example, in Turkey the word "participation" is often a signal that an investment claims Sharī'ah compliance. The use of some Arabic terms, particularly where the language otherwise used is not Arabic, may also signal at least an implicit claim to Sharī'ah compliance.

an investor should be aware. The use of such a "catch-all" provision is particularly helpful in an area that is developing as rapidly as ICM, and is recommended.<sup>7</sup>

#### 2.1.3 Principle G.3: Timely Information

There should be timely disclosure of information which is reasonably material to an investment decision.

#### Rationale

- 34. Information that is material to investors' decisions to invest, or to continue or discontinue an investment, should normally be disclosed as soon as reasonably possible. However, what constitutes timely disclosure will vary depending on the nature of the information and the circumstances. Regulatory regimes will normally recognise this by making different provisions for different cases.
- 35. During an offer period, immediate disclosures need to be made if required to ensure that the information in an offering document is accurate, complete and not misleading. This will normally be done through a supplementary or amended offer document.
- 36. Subsequently, there are likely to be periodic disclosures mandated by regulation, and these should be made within a reasonable time after the period to which they relate. Examples would be the publication of annual accounts, or a periodic update to ICIS investors on the performance of their investments. The relevant period will normally be specified by regulation.
- 37. Certain developments will require to be disclosed as soon as practicable after they occur due to their likely impact on investors' willingness to buy or hold a security. In particular, where the instrument is one that is already traded, failure to make such disclosure risks creating a false market, and may offer opportunities for market abuse. The circumstances under which derogation from full and timely disclosure is permitted should therefore be limited and the safeguards that apply in such circumstances should be clearly defined.
- 38. The conventional standards and regulatory regimes already cited provide a sound basis for specifying required disclosures, and appropriate timescales, for all the broad types of case mentioned above.
- 39. In the case of ṣukūk and ICIS, it should be assumed in addition that investors are sensitive to Sharī'ah issues, and that material developments in such issues will affect their willingness to buy or hold the instrument. Thus, timely disclosures should be made about any matter that is reasonably likely to affect the ongoing Sharī'ah compliance of the instrument. The type of event that may occur is likely to differ between ICIS and *sukūk*, and will therefore be discussed separately later in this standard.
- 40. Where disclosures are regulated, RSAs should monitor to ensure that disclosures are made as required. If the instrument is listed, some jurisdictions may delegate this monitoring function to an exchange, but should nevertheless ensure that the exchange is discharging its responsibilities properly. This applies even if the instrument is not traded on-exchange, because the information can be assumed to be relevant to prices and investor decision making even in over-the-counter (OTC) markets.

#### Recommended Disclosures

41. Specific disclosures are recommended under subsequent principles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> One example of such a provision would be a requirement that an offering document "shall contain all the information which an investor would reasonably require and expect to find in such a document for the purpose of making an informed assessment of: (a) the assets and liabilities, financial position, profits and losses, and prospects of the issuer and any guarantor; and (b) the nature of the securities and the rights and liabilities attaching to those securities".

#### 2.2 Şukūk Disclosure

#### 2.2.1 Application

Offerings Covered by this Standard

- 42. Most jurisdictions have the concept of private and public offerings of securities. The definitions vary among jurisdictions, and this standard is not intended to affect those definitions or how RSAs would classify a particular offering. However, where an offering is classified as private, the effect is generally that this offering is wholly or partly exempt from the registration and disclosure requirements normally applicable to securities offerings in that jurisdiction. As already noted, RSAs vary in what they classify as private offerings, but typically offerings by certain types of offerors (e.g. governments, multilateral financial institutions, etc.) and offerings with certain types of limitations (e.g. to sophisticated investors, to a limited number of offerees, below a prescribed size or with a prescribed minimum denomination) are classified as private offerings. An offering that is not a private offering is generally referred to as a public offering. Based on its balancing of the burdens involved and investor access, an issuer may choose to structure an offering to be a private one.
- 43. In addition, while private offerings may be exempt from detailed disclosure requirements, they may well be regulated by an RSA as to other aspects of the offering for example, as to liability for defective offering material or by requiring filings. This standard addresses only disclosure requirements and is not intended to affect the regulation or treatment of other aspects of offerings by RSAs.
- 44. The disclosure requirements in this standard should be applied by RSAs to public offerings (however these may be defined in the relevant jurisdiction), but RSAs may choose to apply some or all of these disclosure requirements to some or all private offerings (as defined in the relevant jurisdiction). Such a decision will require weighing the issuer burdens and investor interests involved.
- 45. Similarly, issuers of privately offered securities and their advisors may consider applying the disclosure requirements in this standard. This will entail balancing international good practice and investor preferences on one hand with the burdens involved and investor sophistication on the other hand.

Application to Governments, Governmental Entities and Multilateral Financial Institutions

46. As mentioned above, RSAs sometimes choose to exempt offerings by some or all governments, governmental entities and multilateral financial institutions from disclosure requirements (though such offerors often choose to observe them as a matter of good practice). This standard is not intended to affect the exemptions, if any, given by RSAs to such issuers. To the extent an RSA already exempts offerings by such offerors from disclosure requirements generally, it could similarly choose to exempt their offerings from the disclosure requirements in this standard. To the extent an RSA applies more limited disclosure requirements to offerings by such offerors, it could choose to apply a subset of the disclosure requirements in this standard to such offerings. However, an RSA may wish to note that as a Sharī'ah matter (rather than a regulatory matter), the relevant Sharī'ah board may require disclosures as to certain Sharī'ah points. (Examples might include those appearing in paragraphs 88 and 103 below.) It should be noted that, as a matter of practice, such Sharī'ah-related information is almost always disclosed.

## Application to Cross-border Offerings

47. A cross-border offering is typically one where the issuer and offerees are in different jurisdictions, and denominated in either an international reserve currency or the currency of the target market. Cross-border issuances are often marketed to institutional, rather than retail, investors through private offerings. Most RSAs do not apply different disclosure requirements to an offering, solely due to the offering being a cross-border one. But the disclosure requirements applicable to a particular cross-border offering may be different for other reasons – for example, where the cross-border offering is a private offering (as is often the case).

- 48. IOSCO's disclosure standards for debt securities were expressed as being for cross-border offerings but were subsequently used as the basis for domestic disclosure regimes as well. This contributes to harmonisation of capital market standards across jurisdictions. Similarly, IFSB standards aim to bring some harmonisation to the Islamic Capital Market. It follows that domestic offerings as well as cross-border offerings should, where possible, follow the same disclosure standards. This is particularly important where offerings are made into multiple jurisdictions simultaneously, which is the case with some larger international issuances. This standard therefore does not differentiate disclosure requirements solely based on whether a *şukūk* is a domestic or cross-border offering.
- 49. In applying this standard, RSAs should take care not to introduce undue burdens on cross-border private offerings for example, through a requirement to use a local securities information dissemination platform.

#### Terminology

50. As already noted, the entity that formally issues a  $suk\bar{u}k$  is often a special purpose vehicle.<sup>8</sup> In such cases, the proceeds of the  $suk\bar{u}k$  will typically be received and deployed outside the  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure by a commercial party. In line with past IFSB practice, this party is referred to in this standard as the "originator".<sup>9</sup> In addition, while the issuer SPV has payment obligations under a  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure, typically there is a commercial party that is the actual source of the periodic and final amounts expected to be paid to  $suk\bar{u}k$  holders.<sup>10</sup> This entity is referred to in this standard as the "obligor". The originator and the obligor are often, but not necessarily, the same.

#### 2.2.2 Principle S.1: General Disclosure Principles Applicable to Şukūk

The disclosure framework for any  $suk\bar{u}k$  should reflect the particular characteristics of the securities.

#### Rationale

- 51. Taking account of paragraph 4 and its footnote, some \$\sigmu k \bar{u}k\$ have certain financial characteristics that are broadly similar to types of existing conventional securities, whether simple (such as a debenture or a bond) or complex (such as a convertible bond or conventional asset-backed security), with which RSAs are already familiar. Many of the basic disclosures for example, about the business and management of the originator should therefore be similar. However, new \$\sigmu k \bar{u}k\$ structures may be introduced with basic financial, credit, risk or other characteristics that differ significantly from existing conventional instruments. Any such \$\sigmu k \bar{u}k \bar{u}k\$ would additionally require disclosure which reflects those characteristics, as well as reflecting any special Sharī'ah considerations relating to those characteristics that a reasonable Sharī'ah-sensitive investor would find material.
- 52.  $\[ \]$   $\[\]$   $\$
- 53. Some RSAs have regulatory provisions that allow them some discretion in the way they classify *şukūk* for regulatory purposes, depending on their economic characteristics. Such provisions are helpful

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This term is used broadly in this standard to cover any structure established for the sole purpose of issuing securities, and with no other commercial function. While an SPV may take the form of a company, other structures are in use, particularly in civil law iurisdictions.

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  This use of the term "originator" in the context of  $\$uk\bar{u}k$  should not be confused with other uses of "originator" in finance – for example, in the context of conventional asset-backed securities – to refer to the entity creating a financial asset by, for instance, extending a loan or lease.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For example, in an *ijārah ṣukūk*, this would be the party making periodic lease payments and then purchasing the leased asset through a purchase undertaking mechanism.

in the light of the discussion above, but the IFSB recognises that not all legal systems would accommodate such discretionary provisions.

#### Recommended Disclosures

- 54. Taking account of paragraph 4 and its footnote, if some <code>şukūk</code> are similar in certain of their financial characteristics to a conventional debenture or bond, for such <code>şukūk</code> RSAs should base the disclosure requirements on the disclosure principles applicable to those securities. These would normally require extensive disclosures, for example about the business and management of the originator, and also about the instrument, for example any credit rating expected on issue.
- 55. Şukūk may have various features that vary the basic financial, credit and risk characteristics. These include security, any *kafālah* or guarantee, any redemption option, convertibility, exchangeability and subordination. For şukūk with one of these features, RSAs should base the additional or varied disclosure requirements relating to that feature on those that apply when a similar feature is included in a conventional debt security.<sup>11</sup>
- 56. In the case of <code>ṣukūk</code> that are convertible or exchangeable into equity of a listed company or include warrants giving <code>ṣukūk</code> holders the right to purchase equity of a listed company, additional disclosures relating to that equity or its issuer may also be appropriate, and should again parallel those for a conventional bond with corresponding features, subject to any special characteristics (e.g. as to the structure used to achieve convertibility, which may be different from that in the conventional case).
- 57. Some şukūk are issued by institutions offering Islamic financial services (IIFS) with the aim that they should be admissible as regulatory capital within either the Basel III regime or that set out in IFSB-15. Such şukūk must have specified loss-absorbency or convertibility characteristics, depending on whether they are to rank as Additional Tier 1 or as Tier 2 capital. Their eligibility as regulatory capital will be a matter for the relevant banking supervisor to consider, which can be expected to mandate detailed disclosure of the loss absorbency or convertibility characteristics as a condition of its approval and to ensure that they are legally effective.
- 58. Some şukūk are designed to present the characteristics of conventional asset-backed securities (as described, for example, in IOSCO's *Principles for Public Offerings and Listings of Asset-Backed Securities* (2010)). There is no uniform definition for conventional asset-backed securities, though they tend to have certain characteristics<sup>13</sup> that differentiate them from conventional bonds. For şukūk presenting such characteristics, RSAs should base disclosure on the 2010 IOSCO principles (or on any existing regime in their jurisdiction for such securities).<sup>14</sup>
- 59. Offering material for securities should not be misleading as to whether the securities offered are in fact  $\$uk\bar{u}k$ . If the offering material taken as a whole would suggest to a reasonable Sharī'ah-sensitive investor that the securities offered are  $\$uk\bar{u}k$ , the disclosure requirements in this standard should apply. Examples include the use of Arabic terms (in a non-Arabic text) for contracts (aqd), references to Sharī'ah, or references to Sharī'ah scholars or boards.

\_

<sup>11</sup> It should be noted that the starting point chosen by an RSA for developing disclosure requirements for a feature in şukūk does not imply anything about the debt basis of a şukūk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See paragraphs 27 and 29 of IFSB-15 for a more detailed discussion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> These securities generally involve: (a) underlying financial assets that generate the cash flow supporting the securities; (b) absolute transfer of the financial assets such that the securities can withstand insolvency of the originator; (c) recourse only to the underlying financial assets (rather than the originator); and (d) a credit analysis focused on the financial assets (rather than the originator).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> In the view of the IDBG Shari'ah Board, *şukūk* which are based on the securitization of *murābaḥah* debts can only securitize these debts on their nominal value and can only be traded in a Sharī'ah-compliant manner at par or at the outstanding amount owed on spot basis.

#### 2.2.3 Principle S.2: Sharī'ah-related Disclosures for Şukūk

Sufficient disclosures should be made about Sharī'ah aspects of the şukūk to allow an informed judgment as to initial and ongoing Sharī'ah compliance of the şukūk to be made.

#### Rationale

- 60. Compliance with Sharī'ah is a key part of what <code>şukūk</code> offer. The extent to which investors will be willing and able to form a view on Sharī'ah issues themselves varies. (Many retail investors, for example, would be content to rely on the existence of a <code>fatwā</code> from any group of reputable scholars, while on the other hand a substantial IIFS might well submit the relevant documentation to detailed review by its own Sharī'ah advisors.)
- 61. Even where investors do not themselves wish to go deeply into Sharī'ah issues, disclosures made in publicly available, or otherwise accessible, documents allow commentators and others to discuss the issues raised by a particular issuance. This may also help in the longer term to promote convergence of Sharī'ah interpretation in this market.
- 62. Although this does not happen frequently, the need for Sharī'ah input may arise in a <code>ṣukūk</code> after issuance for example, where the underlying asset or activity changes, or if there is to be enforcement or restructuring. It is important for investors to know what, if any, arrangements have been made for this.
- 63. Disclosures for a security would normally include the choice of governing law and jurisdiction of dispute resolution for each of the agreements involved. However, in the case of *şukūk*, it may be important for investors to have some indication of how Sharī'ah requirements may be taken into account within the relevant legal system.<sup>15</sup> This may be significant even if the investor is not himself Sharī'ah-sensitive, because of the possibility that challenges on such grounds might be brought by others.

#### Recommended Disclosures

#### Sharī'ah Scholars and the Review Process

- 64. Disclosure should identify which Sharī'ah board or advisory firm has opined on the Sharī'ah compliance of a  $\dot{s}uk\bar{u}k$ , name the Sharī'ah scholars involved, and describe the nature of their relationship<sup>16</sup> to the originator, obligor or arranger. Sufficient information about the scholars (including their credentials and experience) should be disclosed to allow an investor to decide the reliance it is prepared to place on them.
- 65. If the relevant jurisdiction regulates Sharī'ah governance or interpretation on a nationwide or market-wide level (e.g. by having a national Sharī'ah board or capital markets Sharī'ah council), this should be stated.
- 66. Disclosure should describe the Sharī'ah review process followed and what documents (e.g. term sheets, summary papers, drafts contracts and/or final contracts) were reviewed by the scholars. It should also indicate whether there were related external arrangements that were not considered (e.g. external hedging arrangements).
- 67. If the originator, the arrangers or any other party to the  $suk\bar{u}k$  arrangements is making a representation as to the Sharī'ah compliance of the  $suk\bar{u}k$ , this should be clearly disclosed. Where none of these parties is making any representation as to the Sharī'ah compliance of the  $suk\bar{u}k$ , this should be disclosed in a clear disclaimer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The governing law for *şukūk* contracts should be able to accommodate what is necessary to achieve Sharī'ah compliance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> This might include, for instance, the party who appointed the Sharī'ah board or advisory firm, and whether the Sharī'ah advisors are internal employees or external advisors.

#### Fatwā and Reasoning

- 68. Any *fatwā* relating to the  $\frac{1}{2}uk\bar{u}k$  (and its issue and trading) should be disclosed in the offering document, or otherwise by making it available to prospective investors for example, by posting it on a website accessible to them.
- 69. Disclosure should include: (a) the rationale for the conclusion of Sharī'ah compliance; and (b) the applicable Sharī'ah principles and rulings. Disclosure of these items may be through disclosure of the fatwā itself, to the extent it includes the items mentioned.<sup>17</sup>

#### Underlying Assets and Activities

70. Any particular Sharī'ah deficiency or limitation in relation to the asset(s) or activities underlying the  $\dot{s}uk\bar{u}k$ , as well as the risks of these arising in the future, should be disclosed. For example, where a pool of underlying assets includes cash and receivable-type assets, any ratios that must be observed for the asset pool should be disclosed, along with consequences of their breach. In addition, any underlying assets or activities that include an impermissible component that requires purification should be disclosed.

#### Tradeability

71. If, in the view of the Sharī'ah board or advisory firm opining on the \$\sin uk\bar{u}k\,\$ there are limitations to be observed on the tradeability of the \$\sin uk\bar{u}k\ in the secondary market (e.g. trading only at par or at the outstanding amount owed on spot basis), these limitations should be disclosed and the reasoning should be explained. If the limitation is such that the \$\sin uk\bar{u}k\ in may not be traded or transferred in a Sharī'ah-compliant manner at negotiated prices, then the offering document for the \$\sin uk\bar{u}k\ is should bear a prominent legend stating that the \$\sin uk\bar{u}k\ in may not be traded or transferred in a Sharī'ah-compliant manner.

#### Purification and Compensation Payments

- 72. Disclosure should state whether or not there are arrangements made in the  $\frac{1}{2} k \bar{k} k \bar{k}$  contracts for purification payments to be made in respect of non-Sharī'ah-compliant income arising within the  $\frac{1}{2} k \bar{k} k \bar{k}$  arrangements. If such arrangements are made, the basis on which purification payments are made should be described, as should the bodies to which they will be made in so far as these are known at the time.
- 73. Disclosure should state whether or not there are arrangements made in the  $\frac{1}{2}uk\bar{u}k$  contracts for  $\frac{1}{2}uk\bar{u}k$  or other compensation payments to be made on overdue amounts under the  $\frac{1}{2}uk\bar{u}k$ , and if so under what circumstances such a payment is imposed, on which party it is imposed, and the rate and manner of payment and how it will be utilised (to the extent mentioned in the  $\frac{1}{2}uk\bar{u}k$  documentation).

#### Other Sharī'ah-related Payments

- 74. If there are arrangements within the  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure for  $suk\bar{u}k$  or any other Sharī'ah-related payments to be made in relation to the asset or activity underlying the  $suk\bar{u}k$ , disclosure should describe these arrangements, including how and to whom payments are to be made.
- 75. If *şukūk* holders are to be responsible for determining and paying their own Sharī'ah-related payment obligations arising from acquiring, holding or disposing of the *şukūk*, this should be stated.

#### Sharī'ah and Interpretation

76. Disclosure should state what role (if any) Sharī'ah would play in the interpretation of the ṣukūk contracts (whether interpretation by the parties performing the contracts, or interpretation in a legal forum applying the selected governing law), and particularly in default, enforcement, amendment or restructuring. In jurisdictions where courts are not bound to apply Sharī'ah in interpreting contracts,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Where an issuance has been approved by more than one set of Sharī'ah Advisers, these disclosures should be made for each *fatwā* issued.

disclosure should state that courts would be expected to apply the relevant national law rather than Sharī'ah principles in interpreting the *ṣukūk* contracts, and, if it is the case, describe the possibility that the resulting interpretation may not be consistent with Sharī'ah principles.

#### Sharī'ah Guidance in Matters Arising Post-Issuance

77. Disclosure should describe any arrangements in place to provide Sharī'ah assessments or ad hoc Sharī'ah determinations in extraordinary matters that may arise following issuance of the  $suk\bar{u}k$ , such as default, enforcement, amendment or restructuring. If there are no such arrangements, any potential consequences for Sharī'ah-compliant investors should be disclosed.

#### Ongoing Disclosure

- 78. Periodic Sharī'ah-related disclosures for *ṣukūk* should include details of payments made in respect of purification or compensation as described in paragraphs 72 and 73 and Sharī'ah-related payments made as described in paragraphs 74 and 75. Any conflicts of interest relating to such payments should be disclosed.
- 79. Immediate Sharī'ah-related disclosures for *şukūk* should include:
  - (i) any new *fatwā* or confirmation relating to the *şukūk*;
  - (ii) any material changes in the matters disclosed under paragraph 70 (*Underlying Assets and Activities*);
  - (iii) any changes in the matters disclosed under paragraph 71 (Tradeability); and
  - (iv) any changes to the arrangements disclosed under paragraph 77 (*Sharī'ah Guidance in Matters Arising Post-Issuance*).

## 2.2.4 Principle S.3: Structure-related Disclosures for Şukūk

The structure of the  $\frac{1}{2}uk\bar{u}k$  should be described with sufficient clarity to allow an investor to understand it and assess any risks associated with it, including any legal risks associated with the interactions of multiple parties within the  $\frac{1}{2}uk\bar{u}k$  structure under various agreements.

#### Rationale

- 80. In the disclosure of structure-related aspects of  $suk\bar{u}k$ , clear and understandable language and presentation format are particularly important because of the complexities involved and the use of terminology that may not be familiar to some investors. In the application of Principle G.1, particular attention should therefore be paid to the issue of clarity of language and presentation, taking into account the type of investor who may invest in the particular  $suk\bar{u}k$ . The language and format of disclosure may take account of the likelihood that some types of investors may or may not have access to and consult with professional advisors. The standard for clarity for a publicly offered  $suk\bar{u}k$  is therefore higher than for a privately offered one.
- 81. One of the most fundamental of the financial and risk aspects of a  $\frac{1}{2} k \bar{k}$  is the ultimate source of payments on the  $\frac{1}{2} k \bar{k}$ . Clear and consistent disclosure on this point is necessary so that individual investors, and the market as a whole, have a clear and common understanding.
- 82. A particular issue in \$uk\bar{u}k\$ contracts and disclosure concerns the recourse that \$uk\bar{u}k\$ holders, or a trustee or other party acting on their behalf, have to the assets underlying the \$uk\bar{u}k\$ or their proceeds. In some cases, the proceeds of the underlying assets are available for \$uk\bar{u}k\$ payments only with the significant limitation that they may not be freely disposed in enforcement. Therefore, undue emphasis on recourse to the underlying assets can deflect from the fact that the proceeds of the underlying assets depend fundamentally on the creditworthiness and performance of the \$uk\bar{u}k\$ obligor, rather than solely

on the performance of the underlying assets. It is important that any asset recourse arrangements, and any related Sharī'ah matters, are clearly explained and their limitations described.<sup>18</sup>

- 83. In the capital market, if an obligor's obligation experiences an "event of default", 19 then that obligor's other obligations typically also experience an event of default via a so-called contractual cross-default provision. These provisions serve important purposes, including ensuring that all holders of obligations are treated in accordance with their contractual and legal expectations in a restructuring or insolvency, and that the obligor treats all its obligations without bias. The absence of such clauses can materially impact the rights of \$\sigma uk\bar{u}k\bar{u}k\ holders.
- 84. Finally, when a  $suk\bar{u}k$  obligor encounters difficulties in meeting its obligations in relation to its  $suk\bar{u}k$ , three possible outcomes are: (i) a restructuring of the  $suk\bar{u}k$  (either before or after an actual default), (ii) enforcement (following default and acceleration), and (iii) insolvency. All of these options may be constrained by two factors, however namely, Sharī'ah considerations and limitations on ownership rights of  $suk\bar{u}k$  holders in the asset underlying the  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure The effect of these two factors on restructuring of the  $suk\bar{u}k$ , enforcement of the  $suk\bar{u}k$  and participation in insolvency proceedings requires disclosure.

#### Recommended Disclosures

#### Structure and Contracts

- 86. If the sequence in which the entry into contracts, making of payments, transfer of assets and issuance of the sukuk is significant to the determination of Sharī'ah compliance, the sequence should be disclosed.
- 87. If a particular nominate contract (*aqd*) is used in the structure, the name should be disclosed, along with its translation into the language of the disclosure document.
- 88. A summary of the principal contracts that comprise the <code>ṣukūk</code> structure should be disclosed. In particular, terms with Sharī'ah or legal significance and allocations or transfers of risks (e.g. any <code>kafālah</code> or guarantee or <code>takāful</code> or insurance arrangements) should be described.

Şukūk assets must be undividedly owned by the şukūk holders either directly or through their agent (SPV). This ownership should be valid from both the legal and Sharī ah perspectives, in the sense that the şukūk holders (whether as individuals or through their agent – that is, an SPV) have the ownership of the underlying assets. The ownership of the underlying assets should be transferred to the şukūk holders and registered in their names with legal authorities. (These şukūk may be known, rather incongruously, in the market as "asset-backed".) However, in jurisdictions where there is a prohibition on transferring legal titles to such assets, only the beneficial ownership is permitted to be transferred to the şukūk holders (such şukūk may be known, rather incongruously, in the market as "asset-based") based on the following conditions:

(a) The definition of beneficial ownership must be stated clearly in the *şukūk* documentation. The beneficial ownership of *şukūk* assets refers to valid ownership with all the rights and obligations, but excluding the right of registration with the legal authorities.

In the view of the IDBG Sharī'ah Board, "asset-based" şukūk may only be issued in a Sharī'ah-compliant manner by the observance of the above conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> As mentioned in footnote 115 in IFSB-15, the IDBG Sharī'ah Board is of the following view:

<sup>(</sup>b) There must be a statement by the SPV (included in the trust certificate) confirming that valid ownership has been transferred to the şukūk holders along with associated rights and obligations. The SPV may only utilise the assets in accordance with terms permitted by the şukūk holders, as the assets have been registered under the SPV's name as a fiduciary only.

<sup>(</sup>c) The trust certificate can be enforced through legal mechanisms in legal systems which prohibit the legal transfer of the underlying assets to the şukūk holders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> "Event of default" refers to an event the occurrence of which permits the holders of an instrument to effectively terminate the funding created by that instrument. The terminology for such events can vary from instrument to instrument. Events of default are negotiated among transaction participants and defined in the relevant contracts. They can be as narrow as a failure of holders to receive a payment when scheduled, or may be broader and include breach of representation or covenant, insolvency-type events, invalidity or ineffectiveness of contracts or security, illegality, repudiation, or material adverse change.

# Some Specific Contracts and Arrangements

- 89. The paragraphs that follow set out items of disclosure for some specific contracts or arrangements used in *şukūk* but are not intended to be exhaustive. They cover the contracts or arrangements currently commonly encountered by capital markets regulators. Where a *şukūk* involves more than one of the mentioned contracts or arrangements, as some do, then the disclosures for all contracts or arrangements involved would apply.
- 90. In the case of a *şukūk* based on or including an *ijārah* arrangement, the following specific matters relating to the *ijārah* should be disclosed:
  - (a) the asset(s) being leased and the usage of the asset;
  - (b) the lease period and the rental rate (if the rental rate is variable, with a detailed explanation of the manner of calculating the rate);
  - (c) whether a sublease of the asset is permitted;
  - (d) the circumstances in which the lease may be terminated early or modified (including a loss event), and the consequences of early termination for *şukūk* holders;
  - (e) the arrangements for maintenance of the leased asset;
  - (f) the arrangements, if any, for takāful or insurance of the leased asset; and
  - (g) the mechanism, if any, for re-transfer of the asset from the lessor at the end of the lease period.
- 91. In the case of a *şukūk* based on or including an *istisnā*` (or parallel *istisnā*`) arrangement, the following specific matters relating to the *istisnā*` should be disclosed:
  - (a) the asset(s) being manufactured or built and the usage of the asset;
  - (b) the scheduled delivery date of the asset(s);
  - (c) the basis for the *istisnā* consideration, and the form, amount(s) and timing for payment(s), including any down payment;
  - (d) any additional manufacturers, builders or subcontractors of the asset(s);
  - (e) any security given for the obligations under the <code>istisnā</code>`;
  - (f) any warranties and any arrangements for maintenance of the asset(s) after delivery; and
  - (g) the circumstances in which the asset(s) may be rejected, and the consequences of any failure to timely deliver or accept delivery for *şukūk* holders (including but not limited to cancellation rights, price reductions or compensation payments).
- 92. In the case of a *ṣukūk* based on or including a *mushārakah* arrangement, the following specific matters relating to the *mushārakah* should be disclosed:
  - (a) the partners, the capital contributed by each, and the form of that capital (whether cash, in kind or both):
  - (b) the identity of the manager of the mushārakah;
  - (c) the subject of the mushārakah venture;
  - (d) if a *kafālah* or guarantee of the capital is provided by a third party, the identity of the guarantor, any relationship to the *şukūk* obligor or issuer, the principal terms of the guarantee and what amounts are guaranteed, any expenses payable and confirming that the guarantee and the related expenses paid are Sharī'ah-compliant;
  - (e) the manner of allocation of profits and losses of the mushārakah among the partners; and
  - (f) waiver (tanāzul) of a partner's rights to profit payments (if any).
- 93. In the case of a *ṣukūk* based on or including a *murābaḥah* arrangement, the following specific matters relating to the *murābaḥah* should be disclosed:
  - (a) the parties;
  - (b) the assets that are the subject of the *murābahah*;
  - (c) the purchase and sale price(s) (including any formulas or linkage to a benchmark rate) and timing of payment(s);
  - (d) any provision for compensation (ta'wīq') for late payment20
  - (e) any provision for rebate (*ibra*') in case of early redemption or default;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> In the view of the IDBG Sharī'ah Board, such compensation is to be donated to charity.

- (f) the appointment of any agent and the agent's duties;
- (g) any security given for the obligations under the murābaḥah;
- (h) any wa'd (undertaking) given to purchase the murābaḥah assets; and
- (i) if a *kafālah* or guarantee is provided, the identity of the guarantor, the principal terms of the guarantee, what amounts are guaranteed, any expenses payable and confirming that the guarantee and the related expenses paid are Sharī'ah-compliant.
- 94. In the case of a *şukūk* based on or including a *muḍārabah* arrangement, the following specific matters relating to the *muḍārabah* should be disclosed:
  - (a) the identities of the rabb al-māl and the muḍārib;
  - (b) the capital contributed by the *rabb al-māl* and the form of that capital (whether cash, in kind or both);
  - (c) the subject of the mudarabah venture;
  - (d) if a *kafālah* or guarantee of the capital is provided by a third party, the identity of the guarantor, any relationship to the *şukūk* obligor or issuer, the principal terms of the guarantee and what amounts are guaranteed, any expenses payable, and confirming that the guarantee and the related expenses paid are Sharī'ah-compliant;
  - (e) if any *rahn* (collateral) is given by the *muḍārib*, to secure against losses resulting from its negligence, misconduct or failure to satisfy conditions, the principal terms of the *rahn* and under what circumstances it may be applied against losses resulting from its negligence, misconduct or failure to satisfy conditions;
  - (f) the manner of allocation of profits of the *muḍārabah* between the *rabb al-māl* and the *muḍārib*, and under what circumstances the *muḍārib* may be liable for losses; and
  - (g) waiver (tanāzul) of the rabb al-māl 's or muḍārib's rights to profit payments (if any).
- 95. In the case of a *şukūk* based on or including a *wakālah bil istithmār* arrangement, the following specific matters relating to the *wakālah* should be disclosed:
  - (a) the identity of the wakīl;
  - (b) the subject of the wakālah investments;
  - if a  $kaf\bar{a}lah$  or guarantee of the capital is provided by a third party, the identity of the guarantor, any relationship to the  $suk\bar{u}k$  obligor or issuer, the principal terms of the guarantee and what amounts are guaranteed, any expenses payable and confirming that the guarantee and the related expenses paid are Sharī'ah-compliant;
  - (d) any investment policy or parameters to be observed by the wakīl; and
  - (e) the fee of the wakīl (including any performance incentive fee).
- 96. In the case of a *şukūk* based on or including a *salam* arrangement (or parallel *salam*), the following specific matters relating to the *salam* should be disclosed:
  - (a) the parties;
  - (b) the commodity that is the subject of the salam;
  - (c) the capital of salam;
  - (d) the delivery date of the salam commodity; and
  - (e) any security given for the obligations under the salam.
- 97. In the case of a  $suk\bar{u}k$  with an exchangeability or convertibility into shares arrangement, the following matters should be disclosed:
  - (a) on what basis and by whom the relevant shares have been determined to be Sharī'ahcompliant;
  - (b) any risk that the relevant shares might become non-compliant in the future; and
  - (c) any accommodation for *sukūk* holders should the relevant shares become non-compliant.

# Underlying Assets and Ownership Rights

- 98. The assets, investments and/or activities underlying the  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure should be disclosed, together with the intended use of the assets within the  $suk\bar{u}k$  arrangements. Any partial or incidental use, investment or activity that does not comply with Sharī'ah should be described (see paragraph 70 above).
- 99. Where valuation of the asset is relevant to the Sharī'ah analysis, disclosure should state who has performed or will perform the valuation, the basis for the valuation (e.g. based on market value, on fair value, or on another basis; in the particular case of assets representing services or rights, disclosure should state if the basis does not necessarily represent an arm's length transfer price), when the valuation was performed and whether a valuation report has been prepared.
- 100. If there are arrangements for assets underlying the  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure to be varied or substituted during the lifetime of the  $suk\bar{u}k$ , these arrangements and the substitution parameters should be disclosed, including how substituted assets will be valued.
- 101. Any arrangement that transfers or mitigates the ownership risks or price risks relating to assets underlying the *şukūk* structure, such as *takāful* or insurance or a purchase undertaking or a sale undertaking, should be disclosed.
- 102. Any encumbrance on the assets underlying the  $\frac{1}{2}uk\bar{u}k$  should be disclosed, together with the consequences of enforcement or other action by the party for whose benefit the asset is encumbered.
- 103. The mechanisms for the transfer of the asset into the  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$
- 104. The precise legal interests of the issuer and  $\frac{suk\bar{u}k}{suk\bar{u}k}$  holders in the asset should be disclosed, without solely relying on terms such as "legal ownership", "beneficial ownership"<sup>22</sup> or "usufruct right", whose meanings may vary across jurisdictions. In addition, any particular rationale for choosing a more limited legal interest where a more extensive one exists should be explained.
- 105. If the rights that the issuer and  $\frac{
   }{
   } \frac{
   } \frac{
   }{
   } \frac{
   } \frac{
   } \frac{
   } \frac{$

#### Trustee, Delegate Trustee or Agent

- 106. Where a trustee or delegate trustee or agent is appointed to act for *şukūk* holders, disclosure should describe:
  - (a) the rights, obligations and powers of the trustee, delegate trustee or agent;
  - (b) the circumstances and prerequisites for the trustee, delegate trustee or agent acting on behalf of *şukūk* holders (e.g. requirements for valid instructions and indemnity requirements);
  - (c) the role of the trustee, delegate trustee or agent in default, acceleration, enforcement or restructuring of the  $suk\bar{u}k$ ;
  - (d) provisions for change or termination of the trustee, delegate trustee or agent; and
  - (e) which party will be responsible for the fees and expenses of the trustee, delegate trustee or agent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Refer to footnote 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Refer to footnote 18.

#### Use of Proceeds

107. The use of proceeds of the  $suk\bar{u}k$  issuance by the entity or entities that ultimately deploy the proceeds, in whole or in part, for some use outside the  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure should be disclosed. This means disclosure should describe the use of proceeds beyond the initial application by any SPV used in the  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure.

#### Source of Payments and Recourse

108. The ultimate source(s) of funds used to make distributions on a \$\sin k\bar{u}k\bar{k}\$, both on an ongoing basis and in enforcement, should be disclosed clearly and prominently. For example, if investors must ultimately look to the creditworthiness and performance of the \$\sin k\bar{u}k\bar{u}\$ obligor for payment, or to the performance and credit quality and disposal value of the underlying assets, this should be disclosed and the disclosure focused appropriately. References to the underlying assets as a source of payments on the \$\sin uk\bar{u}k\bar{u}\$ should be accompanied by clear statements explaining any contractual limitations in disposing of or enforcing against those assets and explaining that the performance of the underlying assets depends entirely on the performance of the \$\sin uk\bar{u}k\bar{u}\$ structure includes forms of Sharī'ah-compliant credit enhancement that elevate its effective credit quality above the creditworthiness of the \$\sin uk\bar{u}k\bar{u}\$ obligor (such as security or \$kafalah\$ or guarantees), these should be disclosed, based on disclosure requirements relating to conventional credit enhancement.

#### Default, Enforcement, Restructuring and Insolvency

- 109. Disclosure should state whether or not the  $\frac{\hat{v}k\bar{u}k}{\hat{v}}$  obligor has conventional debt (bonds or bank loans), and if so whether or not (a) its  $\frac{\hat{v}k\bar{u}k}{\hat{v}}$  contains a cross-default provision that is triggered by default of its conventional debt, and (b) its conventional debt contains a cross-default provision that is triggered by default of its  $\frac{\hat{v}k\bar{u}k}{\hat{v}}$  If either of these linkages does not exist, this must be disclosed and the risks to  $\frac{\hat{v}k\bar{u}k}{\hat{v}}$  holders must be described.<sup>24</sup>
- 110. Disclosure should state whether the <code>ṣukūk</code> contracts limit a restructuring of the <code>ṣukūk</code> (assuming that necessary <code>ṣukūk</code> holder consents are obtained) to one that is Sharīʻah-compliant. Any arrangements set out in the <code>ṣukūk</code> contracts to facilitate a Sharīʻah-compliant restructuring (such as for obtaining Sharīʻah guidance) should be disclosed.
- 111. Disclosure should state whether there is a risk that, in an insolvency proceeding of the  $suk\bar{u}k$  obligor, its payment obligations under the  $suk\bar{u}k$  contracts<sup>25</sup> may be treated differently than its (other) unsecured obligations.
- 112. If the  $suk\bar{u}k$ -issuing SPV, as a Sharī'ah-compliant entity, faces Sharī'ah constraints in participating in an insolvency proceeding of the  $suk\bar{u}k$  obligor or accepting distributions from the insolvency estate, this should be disclosed and the constraints described.
- 113. Disclosure should describe the effect that limitations in ownership rights in the asset underlying the *şukūk* structure would have on enforcement. For example, if underlying assets may not be seized and freely sold in an enforcement (subject to the usual legal processes in the relevant jurisdiction), this should be disclosed.
- 114. The precise mechanisms for pursuing recourse to the  $\frac{\hat{s}uk\bar{u}k}{\hat{u}k}$  obligor or asset in enforcement should be disclosed. In addition, the disposition of the underlying asset following default should be disclosed (including who may or will be enjoying its use, and to whom it may or is required to be transferred and under what conditions).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See footnote 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> While appropriate *şukūk* cross-default provisions do not appear to be systemically lacking in practice, under basic disclosure principles the risks associated with such an absence, if it were the case, should be disclosed

principles the risks associated with such an absence, if it were the case, should be disclosed.

25 This payment obligation may, for example, consist of an obligation to pay the purchase price for an asset under a purchase undertaking in the case of a lessor transferring ownership of leased assets to the lessee on termination of the lease.

115. If there is uncertainty as to how courts in the relevant jurisdiction might interpret or enforce key provisions in *şukūk* contracts that are legally untested (e.g. relating to insolvency or post-default asset transfers), this risk must be disclosed.

#### Ongoing Disclosure

- 116. Immediate structure-related disclosures for  $suk\bar{u}k$  should include any amendments or modifications to the contracts disclosed under this principle that would materially affect the Sharī'ah aspects of the  $suk\bar{u}k$ , any changes in the matters disclosed under paragraph 97 in relation to convertible or exchangeable  $suk\bar{u}k$ , any material changes in the assets, investments and/or activities underlying the  $suk\bar{u}k$  structure (together with the related information to be disclosed under paragraph 98), any appointment or replacement of a trustee, delegate trustee or agent (together with the related information to be disclosed under paragraph 106), and any material changes in the matters disclosed under paragraphs 107 (*Use of Proceeds*) or 108 (*Source of Payments and Recourse*).
- 117. In addition, if a *şukūk* becomes subject to a restructuring or an insolvency proceeding, all matters that a reasonable Sharī'ah-sensitive investor would consider material to a decision to hold or dispose of its *şukūk*, or would require in order to make an informed decision in any vote of *şukūk* holders, should be disclosed.

#### Other Material Information

118. In addition to the specific disclosure items described above, initial and ongoing disclosure should include any other matters that a reasonable Sharī'ah-sensitive investor would consider material to an investment decision as to the  $\$uk\bar{u}k$ .

#### 2.2.5 Principle S.4: Entities about which Disclosures Should be Made

# Appropriate disclosures should be made about all entities material to an investment decision in the $suk\bar{u}k$ .

#### **Rationale**

- 119. Conventional debt disclosure principles are based on a structure in which the issuer of the securities receives the proceeds and is the obligor responsible for repayment. However, a  $\bar{s}uk\bar{u}k$  issuer is typically an SPV and different from the  $\bar{s}uk\bar{u}k$  obligor. Therefore, RSAs should be careful to require relevant disclosures in  $\bar{s}uk\bar{u}k$  to be made not only about the  $\bar{s}uk\bar{u}k$  issuer but also about the  $\bar{s}uk\bar{u}k$  obligor (and  $\bar{s}uk\bar{u}k$  originator, where this is different from the obligor).
- 120. Similarly, in some jurisdictions, liability for defective disclosure may fall on a *şukūk* issuer, without contemplating the possibility of an obligor or originator that is different from the issuer. RSAs should be careful that liability for defective disclosure in *şukūk* falls not only on the *şukūk* issuer but also on the obligor and originator of the *şukūk*.
- 121. Typically, an offering document that refers to experts and includes their reports is required to disclose that the expert has consented to the inclusion of its name (and, where applicable, report) in the offering document and has not subsequently withdrawn its consent. Sharī'ah advisors should be regarded as experts within such a regime.
- 122. This standard addresses only disclosure requirements and is not intended to modify existing arrangements within a jurisdiction as to the parties required to prepare, or participate in preparing, disclosure or related filings and reports, nor the duties or standards of care that apply to them (e.g. the responsibilities of directors or auditors).

# Recommended Disclosures

123. Where the *şukūk* obligor(s) differ from the *şukūk* issuer, the information normally required to be disclosed in relation to an issuer (such as the issuer's business description or its financial statements),

whether initial or ongoing, should also be disclosed in relation to the  $suk\bar{u}k$  obligor(s). Other than the foregoing requirement, this standard is not intended to modify existing requirements as to parties about which information must be disclosed (such as Sharīʻah-compliant guarantors).

- 124. Where the şukūk obligor(s) or originator(s) differ from the şukūk issuer, the liability for defective disclosure normally applying to an issuer should also apply to the şukūk obligor(s) and originator(s).<sup>26</sup>
- 125. A *şukūk* disclosure document that refers to Sharī'ah advisors or includes their *fatwā* should include a statement of consent from the Sharī'ah advisors as to inclusion of their names and *fatwā*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> This paragraph is not strictly a disclosure requirement, but describes a principle that RSAs should bear in mind in determining which parties must bear liability for defects in disclosure.

#### 2.3 ICIS Disclosure

#### 2.3.1 Application

#### Application to Different Legal Structures

- 126. ICIS can play an important role, channelling resources to the securities markets and offering *Sharī'ah*-sensitive investors a means to achieve diversified exposure to Sharī'ah-compliant investment opportunities. The terms "CIS" and "ICIS" include open-ended funds that will redeem their units or shares (whether on a continuous or periodic basis). They also include closed-ended funds whose shares or units are traded on regulated or organised markets. The rules governing the legal form and structure of CIS vary across jurisdictions. Common forms include open-ended investment company (OEIC, SICAV or similar), closed-ended investment company, unit trust and limited partnership, but this list is not exhaustive.
- 127. Some jurisdictions allow umbrella funds, in which a single overall governance structure operates a number of subfunds with different investment strategies. Umbrella funds pose certain governance issues,<sup>27</sup> with associated disclosure requirements. However, the special issues and disclosure requirements are similar as between conventional and Islamic variants.
- 128. The provisions set out in this section are intended to apply across all ICIS structures. Where some distinctions are drawn, most commonly between open-ended and closed-ended structures, they are drawn explicitly. They do, however, assume the kind of separate regulation and legal and structural requirements expected by the relevant IOSCO standards, notably Core Principles 24–27.<sup>28</sup> Some other structures used in Islamic finance for example, profit-sharing investment accounts<sup>29</sup> and, in particular, restricted profit-sharing investment accounts have some features in common with ICIS. It is for RSAs to decide to what extent it is appropriate to apply the requirements of this standard to such structures.

#### Application to Different Types of Investment

- 129. This standard, like the main conventional standards,<sup>30</sup> is principally aimed at ICIS that invest in tradeable securities, and specifically securities listed on an exchange. Such securities have the advantages of transparent pricing and a degree of liquidity. These advantages are shared by some other asset types for example, cash or gold bullion. ICIS that deal in other types of asset, such as unlisted securities or real estate, may raise additional issues. Disclosure provisions for some specific specialist types of ICIS are specified under Principle C.3.
- 130. Some types of Sharī'ah-compliant assets may pose risks that their conventional counterparts do not (e.g. liquidity or valuation risks, for assets that are infrequently traded). Disclosures in respect of such risks would be required by conventional frameworks, but in their supervisory practice RSAs should be alert to ensure that such disclosures are in fact made.
- 131. Conventional hedge funds typically invest in a wider range of instruments, including derivatives, and also have the ability to sell short. They typically have separate, lighter, regulatory requirements and are not allowed to be sold to retail investors. Because of Sharī'ah requirements, it is very difficult to constitute a Sharī'ah-compliant hedge fund. This standard is therefore not intended to apply to hedge funds.

# Application to Non-retail Funds

132. CIS normally target retail investors, and the disclosure requirements, both in IOSCO standards and in this standard, are written around the needs of retail investors. However, in practice, most jurisdictions tend to reduce regulatory oversight in relation to private placements or funds available only

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 27}$  For example, concerned with transactions between subfunds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> In the numbering of the 2011 Principles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> While the term PSIA is in common use, investment accounts also have the features of loss absorbency. Hence, it is more appropriate to refer to *muḍārabah*-based investment accounts as profit-sharing and loss-bearing (by the capital provider) investment accounts, and to *mushārakah*-based investment accounts as profit-and-loss-sharing investment accounts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> For example, the IOSCO Core Principles.

to a restricted class of investors. The definition of what amounts to an offer to the public, and what funds may be offered to retail investors, varies. Factors commonly taken into account include the number of investors, their net worth or investable assets, and their experience or sophistication. However, this standard does not attempt to set out in what circumstances or in what ways regulatory requirements should be reduced.

133. There are exceptions to this approach for certain specialist types of ICIS – for example, Islamic private equity funds – which in many jurisdictions could not be offered to retail investors. Where a comment to this effect appears in the text, the disclosure requirements are specified for the types of investor who would normally be allowed to invest in such a fund.

#### Application to Cross-border Sales

134. Jurisdictions vary in the extent to which they permit CIS from other jurisdictions to be offered to their investors. Where such offers are permitted, the CIS in question normally have to comply with domestic disclosure requirements (subject to any appropriate exemptions – e.g. for private offers). They thus raise no special issues of disclosure. However, in some cases,<sup>31</sup> passporting or mutual recognition arrangements exist that will normally be based either on an assessment that the other jurisdiction's regulation is satisfactory, or on adoption by a group of nations of common regulatory provisions. Where such approaches are adopted for ICIS, the assessment or the common regulatory provisions should cover regulation of ICIS specifically.

#### Disclosure Documents

135. Within a normal disclosure framework, an ICIS will need to produce a prospectus that is regularly updated. In some jurisdictions, it may also have to produce a shorter document, a key information document, typically of a few pages at most and often aimed at retail investors. Where a KID is required, the full prospectus should also be available to investors – for example, through a hyperlink. As regards continuing disclosure, an ICIS is likely to have to produce an annual report, and possibly an interim report. There will also be types of change to the ICIS of which investors must be notified, and which in some cases they may have to approve. Because of the expense of notifying a large number of investors, these changes will typically be confined to fundamental ones.

#### Note on Sharī'ah Compliance Disclosures

136. This section sets out a number of disclosures that should be made concerning the Sharī'ah compliance of various activities. There are broadly two approaches to such matters. One is to make direct disclosures about what will be done; the other is to describe the process by which compliance will be secured, normally involving the appointment of Sharī'ah advisors. The former will normally be more comprehensible to retail investors (to whom most ICIS are aimed), but some legal environments might leave the ICIS operator open to legal action founded solely on differing interpretations of Sharī'ah. The extent of this risk can be reduced in various ways, including by providing a "reasonable enquiry and reasonable belief" defence against liability, or by submitting all issues of Sharī'ah interpretation to a relevant national-level Sharī'ah authority, where one exists. RSAs implementing this standard should consider the terms in which Sharī'ah-related disclosures should be made in the light of their own legal and Sharī'ah governance environments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> For example, within ASEAN.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> A document of this kind may be called a "key information document (KID)" or a "factsheet", or some other name. The term "KID" is used here to refer to any such document.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> In the absence of a prospectus or KID, relevant disclosures can still be imposed by the RSAs in the constitutional documents of the funds. However, this will normally be appropriate only for funds aimed at a small number of very large, normally institutional, investors.

<sup>34</sup> For example, that cash balances will be invested in a Sharī'ah-compliant way.

#### 2.3.2 Principle C.1: Sharī'ah-related Disclosures for ICIS

An ICIS should make sufficient disclosures about its Sharī'ah governance and Sharī'ah compliance to allow an investor sensitive to those issues, and of a type for whom the ICIS is intended, to make an informed decision whether to invest or to remain invested.

#### Rationale

- 137. ICIS pose different issues of Sharī'ah governance from şukūk, because they buy and sell investments during their lifetime, and issues of Sharī'ah compliance therefore arise continuously throughout their lifetime. For most ICIS, Sharī'ah advisors cannot make individual decisions each time a stock is bought or sold, and they therefore approve a set of screening criteria on the basis of which investments can be judged compliant or otherwise. Some ICIS do not have their own Sharī'ah advisors, but rely instead on a list of approved investments, or a screening method, produced by a national body or a commercial one (e.g. an index provider) and approved by its Sharī'ah advisors.
- 138. Some Sharī'ah-related disclosures particularly relevant to specialist types of fund are discussed under Principle C.3.

#### Recommended Disclosures

Investments, Sharī'ah Review and Governance

- 139. An ICIS should disclose in its prospectus the type or types of Sharī'ah-compliant assets in which the fund will invest, and the process that will be used to ensure that each such asset is Sharī'ah-compliant.
- 140. Where the assets selection process depends on an externally produced list of compliant assets or a screening methodology, the ICIS should give details. Where the information is extensive, broad details may be given in the prospectus, together with a reference (e.g. through a URL) to more detailed information. It should give the names and qualifications of the Sharī'ah scholars responsible for approving the methodology and, where available, the relevant *fatwā*.
- 141. Where the ICIS maintains or employs its own Sharī'ah board or other Sharī'ah advisory body, it should give the names of the Sharī'ah scholars, their qualifications, the roles and responsibilities of the Sharī'ah advisory body and the Sharī'ah review process followed. This should include the frequency of Sharī'ah meetings, the frequency of review of the ICIS's investments or investment methodology, circumstances where non-conformity to Sharī'ah principles may occur, and the processes to detect and control the risks of such non-conformity.
- 142. An ICIS should disclose in its prospectus the processes for internal and/or external Sharī'ah audit.
- 143. An ICIS should disclose in a KID, where one is required, the type or types of Sharī'ah-compliant assets in which it will invest, the names of its Sharī'ah advisors (if any), and brief details of any externally produced list or screening methodology it will use. It should also disclose any special Sharī'ah-related risks.
- 144. Material changes to any of the matters set out above should be reported in the annual and/or interim reports. A material change in investment strategy is likely also to be a matter requiring investor approval and, therefore, immediate disclosure.
- 145. An ICIS should also include in its annual report a report from its Sharī'ah advisors (if any) on the operations of the ICIS during the year, and the report of any external Sharī'ah auditor.

#### Treatment of Tainted Assets or Income

146. An ICIS should explain in its prospectus its processes for review of the continuing Sharī'ah compliance of its investments, and for divestment from any investment determined to be no longer compliant. It should also state whether or not there are arrangements made for purification payments to be made in respect of non-Sharī'ah-compliant income. If such arrangements are made, the basis on

which purification payments are made should be described, as should the bodies to which they will be made in so far as these are known at the time.

147. An ICIS should disclose in its annual report the amount of tainted income received, to what bodies purification payments have been made, and the amounts paid to each.

Zakāh or other Sharī'ah-related Obligatory Payments

- 148. An ICIS should disclose in its prospectus whether or not it has arrangements for *zakāh* payments or any other Sharī'ah-related obligatory payments, to be made on behalf of the investors, on any assets subject to *zakāh*. If such arrangements exist, how and to what bodies *zakāh* or other Sharī'ah-related obligatory payments are disbursed should be described with enough specificity for prospective investors to understand the ultimate use and types of beneficiary.
- 149. An ICIS should disclose in its annual report the amount of any *zakāh* or other Sharī'ah-related obligatory payments disbursed, the basis of the calculation, and the recipients, as described in the previous paragraph.

#### 2.3.3 Principle C.2: Operations-related Disclosures for ICIS

An ICIS should make sufficient disclosures about operational matters and their Sharī'ah compliance to allow an investor sensitive to such issues to make a reasonable judgement whether investment in the ICIS is, or remains, appropriate.

#### Rationale

- 150. In addition to their investment activities, ICIS have other transactions whose Sharī'ah compliance may be relevant. They will need to manage cash in the short term before investing it. Depending on the regulatory regime in the jurisdiction, they may be allowed to employ leverage. Some conventional CIS engage in securities borrowing or lending, and some specialist funds may hold physical assets that need to be insured. Sharī'ah compliance is thus an issue not only in investment but in other operational aspects of the fund.
- 151. Some operations-related disclosures particularly relevant to specialist types of fund are discussed under Principle C.3. These include the use of *takāful* to insure physical assets, and the use and structural implications of Islamic mortgages over real estate.

# Recommended Disclosures

- 152. An ICIS should disclose in its prospectus:
  - (i) how any cash balances maintained in the fund will be invested;
  - (ii) whether it will employ leverage, and if so the forms of leverage it will use as well as how it will ensure their Sharī'ah compliance;
  - (iii) whether it will use Sharī'ah-compliant hedging instruments, and if so, the forms it will use;
  - (iv) whether it will engage in any form of securities borrowing or lending;

and, in each case, the reason why these activities are judged to be Sharī'ah-compliant or the process by which their compliance will be assessed at the relevant time.

153. The reports from Sharī'ah advisors or Sharī'ah auditors referred to in paragraph 145 should cover these aspects of the ICIS's operations, so far as they are relevant.

#### 2.3.4 Principle C.3: Specialist ICIS Disclosure

Disclosures for special types of ICIS need to reflect their specific structures, operational considerations and risks.

154. This section deals with some special types of fund that pose particular disclosure issues. Because these disclosure issues are specific to these types of ICIS, each is dealt with separately. The disclosures specified here are in addition to those specified above, where applicable, and to the disclosures normally required for the funds' conventional counterparts. Other types of specialist ICIS are possible, and the IFSB may issue standards dealing with such funds should disclosure and transparency issues be identified in the future.

#### **Property Funds**

#### Rationale

155. Property funds invest directly in real estate, or in securities related to real estate. Those that invest directly in real estate may invest either in completed buildings, in the expectation of rental income and/or capital appreciation, or in properties still under development. The latter strategy is generally more risky.

156. Property funds that invest only in tradeable securities pose no particular disclosure issues beyond those dealt with in section 2.3.2. However, for those that invest directly in real estate, several issues arise that may affect disclosure:

- (i) Real estate is inherently an illiquid asset. Hence, an open-ended fund faces the possibility of being unable to liquidate assets fast enough to meet requests for redemptions of units. Consequently, many property funds are established as closed-ended funds. Where jurisdictions allow open-ended property funds, they generally permit some special mechanism to cope with the issue of illiquidity. This may involve suspension of redemptions, or a requirement to hold a certain proportion of liquid assets, or some other means to obtain liquidity. For an Islamic property fund, investors will expect any liquid assets or other liquidity mechanism to be Sharī'ah-compliant.
- (ii) To provide commercially attractive returns, property funds normally seek leverage by taking mortgages over the properties in which they invest. For an Islamic property fund, any such mortgage will need to be Sharī'ah-compliant. In addition, the structures used to achieve this may well involve the financier holding title to the asset,<sup>35</sup> contrary to the usual principle that a CIS should hold title to its assets. Where regulation in the jurisdiction permits such an arrangement, disclosure may need to be made.
- (iii) A property fund will need to insure the properties it holds, and for an ICIS the issue will arise whether this is done through Islamic insurance (*takāful*). It is possible that some Islamic property funds may wish to invest in jurisdictions in which *takāful* cover is not available to them.
- (iv) Where Islamic property funds invest in tenanted property, especially commercial property, there is a risk that tenants will be engaged in businesses that are not Sharī'ah-compliant.
- (v) an Islamic property fund may invest in a property alongside a conventional investor. While this is not problematic in itself (just as an Islamic equity fund may invest in a company alongside conventional investors), if this involves interest-bearing finance being secured by an interest in the property, this will raise substantial Sharī'ah issues.

#### Recommended Disclosures

157. An Islamic property fund should disclose the following in its prospectus:

 $<sup>^{35}</sup>$  This would be the case for an  $\it ij\bar{a}rah$  structure, for example.

- if it is open-ended, what Sharī'ah-compliant mechanism will be employed in the event that requests for redemptions exceed the ability of the fund to meet them within the normal timescale;
- (ii) how properties owned by the fund will be insured and, in particular, whether this will be through Sharī'ah-compliant means;
- (iii) what processes will be employed to ensure that any mortgages over properties in which the fund invests are Sharī'ah-compliant;
- (iv) where there are or may be other investors in a property, whether such investors may take conventional financing secured by their interest in the property;
- (v) if such mortgages involve title to the assets not being held by the fund (and if this is permitted by the regulator), what alternative mechanisms will be used to ensure that the assets of the fund are held for the benefit of the unitholders; and
- (vi) what mechanisms, if any, will be used to limit the risk that rental income will be derived from businesses that are not Sharī'ah-compliant, and any benchmark set in respect of the maximum proportion of such income that the fund will accept (e.g. when investing in a multi-tenanted property). (The disclosures in respect of purification payments have already been discussed in paragraphs 146–147 above.)

#### Islamic REITs

#### Rationale

158. An Islamic REIT is a special type of Islamic property fund. Although there is no standard definition of a REIT, the regulatory provisions for REITs commonly (though not universally) include requirements that they invest only or dominantly in income-producing real estate (as opposed to properties under development) and that they distribute the large majority of their income to unitholders. They will commonly be required to be listed on an exchange, and may receive favourable tax treatment. The disclosures relevant to an Islamic property fund will be relevant to a REIT also. Because a REIT specialises in tenanted property, the disclosures in relation to the activities of tenants and the treatment of tainted income will assume particular importance.

## Exchange Traded Funds

#### Rationale

159. Exchange traded funds (ETFs) are open-ended CIS that trade throughout the day like a stock on the secondary market (i.e. through an exchange). Generally, ETFs seek to replicate the performance of a target index and are structured and operate in a similar way. Like operating companies, ETFs register offerings and sales of ETF shares and list their shares for trading. As with any listed security

(including some closed-ended investment companies), investors may trade ETF shares continuously at market prices, but ETF shares purchased in secondary market transactions usually are not redeemable from the ETF except in large blocks.

160. ETFs may be index-based or actively managed, and may pursue their investment objectives using a physical or synthetic investment strategy. Physical ETFs seek to meet their investment objective by holding physical securities and other assets. Synthetic ETFs seek to meet their investment objective by entering into a derivative contract (typically through a total return swap) with a selected counterparty. Because of the Sharī'ah issues associated with the use of derivatives, Islamic ETFs are likely to be physical. Where an Islamic ETF replicates an index, this should be an Islamic index.<sup>36</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> It is possible for a physical ETF to replicate an index without holding every stock in it – for example, by sampling techniques. However, the differences between Islamic and conventional indices, notably the role that conventional financial services companies play in the latter, would make it difficult for an Islamic ETF to replicate a conventional index while holding only Sharī'ah-compliant assets.

161. In June 2013, IOSCO published "Principles for the Regulation of Exchange Traded Funds".<sup>37</sup> This included recommendations on disclosure that are equally applicable to Islamic ETFs.

#### Recommended Disclosures

162. No additional disclosures are recommended for Islamic ETFs beyond those specified above and those recommended by IOSCO. However, regulators of ETFs should pay particular attention to disclosures on investment strategy, including, where appropriate, how an index will be tracked, and on the use of any Sharī'ah-compliant hedging instruments.

#### Money Market Funds

#### Rationale

- 163. Although there is no globally accepted definition, a conventional money market fund (MMF) can be defined as an investment fund that has the objective to provide investors with preservation of capital and daily liquidity, and that seeks to achieve that objective by investing in a diversified portfolio of high-quality, low-duration fixed-income instruments. For an Islamic MMF, these instruments would be likely to be short-term *sukūk*, or short-term placements with Islamic banks.
- 164. MMFs fall into two broad classes. In a variable net asset value (VNAV) MMF, the value of a unit can vary in the same way as for a normal CIS (e.g. if the obligor of a <code>\$\sigmu k\bar{u}k\$</code> is perceived to be at risk of failure). The disclosure issues here are typically around investment strategy, valuation methods, and risk. ("Low risk does not mean no risk.") In a stable net asset value (SNAV) MMF, the value of a unit is held stable, independent of the value of the underlying assets and, if necessary, the operator may have to contribute its own funds to achieve this. Such funds are vulnerable to runs and may have systemic impacts, and not all jurisdictions permit them. For an Islamic SNAV MMF, there might well be Sharīʻah difficulties in particular, in complying with the prohibition on <code>ribā</code>.
- 165. IOSCO published "Policy Recommendations for Money Market Funds" in October 2012. This included recommendations about disclosure. Recommendations 12 and 13, dealing with disclosure, were that "MMF documentation should include a specific disclosure drawing investors' attention to the absence of a capital guarantee and the possibility of principal loss", and "MMFs' disclosure to investors should include all necessary information regarding the funds' practices in relation to valuation and the applicable procedures in times of stress".
- 166. These disclosures would be equally relevant for an Islamic MMF. However, such a fund would invest in different instruments from those available to a conventional fund, and the mechanisms, if any, used to stabilise unit value under conditions of stress would require proper Sharī'ah scrutiny.

#### Recommended Disclosures

- 167. In addition to the disclosures for open-ended ICIS generally, and those specified by IOSCO, an Islamic MMF should disclose:
  - (i) the types of Sharī'ah-compliant instrument in which it will invest; and
  - (ii) the Sharī'ah ruling on any mechanism used to stabilise unit value under conditions of stress.

These disclosures should be made in the prospectus, and the former disclosure should be made also in any KID.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> The descriptive material in this section is closely based on that in the IOSCO publication.

#### Private Equity/Venture Capital Funds

#### Rationale

- 168. Private equity is equity raised by companies privately rather than through public fundraising. The private equity industry encompasses a wide range of firms that raise capital into funds with a diverse range of potential investment strategies. Equity capital is typically raised in a fund structure from a variety of sources, often restricted to institutional or other sophisticated investors. Private equity funds may use standard fund structures, but the limited partnership structure is a popular one, especially in the US.
- 169. Private equity funds may specialise in different phases of target firms' development. For example, venture capital firms specialise in early stage and growth targets. Others may buy listed companies and de-list them while restructuring. They will normally hold a high percentage of a firm's equity, so as to have strong influence or control over its management, and will expect to exit the investment within usually a few years for example, through a stock market flotation or a trade sale.
- 170. Private equity investments are inherently risky and also illiquid; hence the fact that they are often not allowed to be marketed to retail investors. Private equity funds also tend to rely heavily on leverage, either at the level of the fund or of the firm, to produce sufficiently attractive returns. On the other hand, they commonly need to invest surplus cash in the time between having raised it and having found an investment target.
- 171. Many jurisdictions consider that private equity investors are sufficiently sophisticated to negotiate the disclosures they need, and therefore apply minimal disclosure regulation to such funds. As part of the process of establishing a fund, investors do normally negotiate the terms and frequency of continuing disclosure to be made by the operator on behalf of the fund. Investor reporting centres around the production of regular fund valuation reports and transaction reporting, which provide investors, to varying degrees, with details of all new investment/divestment activity, a breakdown of both fund expenses/income and profit/loss, and a detailed review of the performance of individual portfolio assets as well as annual investor meetings. As a practical matter, larger investors often demand and enjoy better access to fund managers than do smaller investors. Some fund managers implement policies to address the potential conflict raised by disclosure disparity.
- 172. An Islamic private equity fund will need to consider individually the Sharī'ah compliance of the companies in which it invests. It will also need to consider the type of instrument in which it invests any short-term cash, and the routes by which any leverage is achieved. Because private equity deals can be quite complex, each will normally be subject to individual Sharī'ah scrutiny.

#### Recommended Disclosures

173. No additional specific disclosures are proposed for Islamic private equity, beyond those for its conventional counterpart and, to the extent appropriate for the permitted types of investors, those set out above. However, supervisors should pay particular attention to the Sharī'ah governance disclosures, bearing in mind the need for scrutiny of individual transactions and operational arrangements.

#### **Commodity Funds**

#### Rationale

- 174. The term "commodity fund" embraces a range of strategies. Some funds simply hold the securities of firms active in the natural resources industries for example, shares in oil companies. These raise no disclosure issues beyond those for ICIS generally.
- 175. Other conventional funds may invest directly in commodities for example, holding physical gold bullion or in commodity derivatives (futures and options), or both. These derivatives raise Sharī'ah compliance issues. Because commodity funds of this type do not invest in tradeable securities, and are generally risky, jurisdictions often do not permit them to be marketed to retail investors.

176. Any ICIS investing in commodities or commodity futures needs to meet the requirements of Sharī'ah. These cover not only the nature of the commodities traded but also the prohibition on short selling, or forward selling and the requirement for actual or constructive possession. However, an ICIS can establish a commodity fund on the basis of *salam*.

#### Recommended Disclosures

- 177. In addition to the disclosures required for ICIS generally, a fund whose investments include *salam* contracts should disclose the following:
  - (i) the commodities in which it will invest;
  - (ii) confirmation that the capital of salam has been paid in advance; and
  - (iii) how it will ensure the implementation of the Sharī'ah conditions of salam.

These disclosures should be made in the prospectus, and the former disclosure should be made also in any KID.

# **DEFINITIONS**

The following definitions are intended to assist readers in their understanding of the terms used in this Standard. The list is by no means exhaustive.

Aqd	Nominate contract.
Fatwā	A juristic opinion given by the Sharī'ah board on any matter pertinent to Sharī'ah issues, based on the appropriate methodology.
ljārah	An contract made to lease the usufruct of a specified asset for an agreed period against a specified rental. It could be preceded by a unilateral binding promise from one of the contracting parties. As for the <i>ijārah</i> contract, it is binding on both contracting parties.
Islamic Collective Investment Scheme	<ul> <li>Any structured financial scheme that, fundamentally, meets all the following criteria:</li> <li>(a) Investors have pooled their capital contributions in a fund (whether that fund is in a separate legal entity, or is held pursuant to a contractual arrangement) by subscribing to units or shares of equal value. Such units or shares constitute, in effect, claims of ownership of the undivided assets of the fund (which can consist of financial or non-financial assets), and give rise to the right or obligation to share in the profits or losses derived from those assets. Whether or not the Islamic collective investment scheme is managed by the institutions that established or sponsored it, it is financially accountable separately from those institutions (i.e. it has its own assets and liabilities profile), but excluding şukūk.</li> <li>(b) The fund is established and managed in accordance with Sharī'ah rules and principles.</li> </ul>
Ibrā'	An act, which results in the holder of a certain right relinquishing his own right and claim arising from an obligation established on the liability of a another individual either wholly or partially.
<b>I</b> stișnā'	The sale of a specified asset, with an obligation on the part of the seller to manufacture/construct it using his own materials and to deliver it on a specific date in return for a specific price to be paid in one lump sum or instalments.
Kafālah	Joining the liability of the guarantor with the liability of the guaranteed in settling a debt so that it will be established on the liability of both the guarantor and the guaranteed.
Muḍārabah	A partnership contract between the capital provider ( <i>rabb al-māl</i> ) and an entrepreneur ( <i>muḍārib</i> ) whereby the capital provider would contribute capital to an enterprise or activity that is to be managed by the entrepreneur. Profits generated by that enterprise or activity are shared in accordance with the percentage specified in the contract, while losses are to be borne solely by the capital provider unless the losses are due to misconduct, negligence or breach of contracted terms.
Murābahah/ Murābahah for the Purchase Orderer	A sale contract whereby the institution sells to a customer a specified asset, whereby the selling price is the sum of the cost price and an agreed profit margin. The <i>murābaḥah</i> contract can be preceded by a promise to purchase from the customer.

Mushārakah (Sharikat al-'Aqd)	A partnership contract in which the partners agree to contribute capital to an enterprise, whether existing or new. Profits generated by that enterprise are shared in accordance with the percentage specified in the <i>mushārakah</i> contract, while losses are shared in proportion to each partner's share of capital.
Rahn	A contract to withhold an asset for the benefit of the creditor as a security against a debt whereby the creditor ( <i>murtahin</i> ) is entitled to hold custody of the asset actually or constructively. In the event of default by the debtor ( <i>rāhin</i> ), the creditor has the right to sell the asset.
Salam	The sale of a specified commodity that is of a known type, quantity and attributes for a known price paid at the time of signing the contract for its delivery in the future in one or several batches.
Sharī'ah	The practical divine law deduced from its legitimate sources: the Qur'ān, Sunnah, Consensus (ijmā'), Analogy (qiyās) and other approved sources of the Sharī'ah.
Şukūk	Certificates that represent a proportional undivided ownership right in tangible assets, or a pool of tangible assets and other types of assets. These assets could be in a specific project or specific investment activity that is Sharī'ah-compliant.
Takāful	A mutual guarantee in return for the commitment to donate an amount in the form of a specified contribution to the participants' risk fund, whereby a group of participants agree among themselves to support one another jointly for the losses arising from specified risks.
Tanāzul	Waiving the holder of a right or his delegate a specific financial right established for him by the Sharī'ah on the liability of another individual or assigning it wholly or partially in return for a consideration or without consideration.
Ta'wīḍ	What is paid to compensate for a harm that occurred as a result of violating the contract.
Wa'd	Undertaking to perform an act in the future related to someone else.
Wakālah/Wakālah bil istithmār	An agency contract where the customer (principal) appoints an institution as agent (wakīl) to carry out the business on his behalf. The contract can be for a fee or without a fee.
Zakāh	A financial obligation that shall be disbursed through specific channels imposed on those whose wealth has reached a certain threshold (niṣāb) one year after it has been acquired.